

FRAUNHOFER INSTITUTE FOR SYSTEMS AND INNOVATION RESEARCH ISI

# **Policy Brief**

# REAL-WORLD USAGE OF PLUG-IN HYBRID ELECTRIC VEHICLES

# **Background**

Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs) combine an electric and a conventional combustion engine drivetrain. In the first half of 2020, PHEVs accounted for about 3.5 percent of all new passenger car registrations in Europe, 1.1 percent in China and 0.3 percent in the United States. As of 2019, PHEVs accounted for more than two million vehicles globally and their total fleet is expected to grow further over the next decade.

The potential of PHEVs to reduce local pollutant and global greenhouse gas emissions strongly depends on their real-world usage and the share of kilometers driven on electricity, referred to as the utility factor (UF). While official PHEV fuelconsumption values are assessed in standardized testing procedures, or test cycles, such as the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC) or the Worldwide Harmonized Light-Duty Vehicles Test Procedure (WLTP). Despite growing PHEV market shares, little is publicly known about their real-world usage. This study provides a better understanding of the real-world usage of PHEVs in China, Europe, and North

America, with a focus on Germany, the largest PHEV market in Europe.

## Methodology

The study uses data on the real-world usage of PHEVs, such as real-world fuel consumption, annual vehicle kilometers traveled (VKT), and the UF. This data covers several countries and includes data from private and company cars (Table 1). In total, we collected data from primary and secondary sources of more than 100,000 PHEVs. Although the sample is dominated by North American vehicles, the sample sizes for individual countries are still sufficiently large to discern general patterns and draw conclusions. While most of the vehicles in the sample are private, a substantial number of more than 10,000 PHEVs are company cars, allowing significant analyses for this user group. In total, the data includes 66 models, among which 202 model variants can be differentiated.

Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI
Breslauer Straße 48

Breslauer Straße 48 76139 Karlsruhe

Dr. Patrick Plötz

Coordinator of Business Unit Energy Economy patrick.ploetz@isi.fraunhofer.de

www.isi.fraunhofer.de

September 2020




Figure 1. Distribution of real-world fuel consumption in relation to NEDC test cycle. Shown is the distribution by country. The vertical dotted line at 100 percent corresponds to real = test cycle. Private users in blue and company car users in red.

**Table 1. Overview of PHEV Sample** 

User group	Country	Sample
Private	China	6,870
Private	Germany	1,385
Private	Norway	1,514
Private	US & Canada	84,068
Company car	Germany	72
Company car	Netherlands	10,800
Total		104,709

# **Key findings**

PHEV fuel consumption and tail-pipe CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during real-world driving, on average, are approximately two to four times higher than typeapproval values. The deviation from New European Drive Cycle (NEDC) type-approval values spans much larger ranges than for conventional vehicles. Real-world values are two to four times higher for private cars and three to four times higher for company cars (Figure 1). Making use of the limited dataset of PHEVs that are type-approved to the newly introduced WLTP, the deviation found is about the same as for PHEVs type-approved to the NEDC.

PHEVs electrify many kilometers per year. Most PHEVs have type-approval all-electric ranges of 30 km to 60 km (NEDC) and electrify 5,000 km to 10,000 km a year, increasing with range. PHEVs with high all-electric ranges of 80 km or more achieve 12,000 km to 20,000 km mean annual electric mileages, which are values comparable to the mean total annual mileage of the car fleet in Germany and the United States. The high mean annual number of electric kilometers reflects high annual milea-

ges of PHEVs despite low UFs.

The real-world share of electric driving for PHEVs, on average, is about half the share considered in the type-approval values. For private cars, the average UF is 69 percent for NEDC type approval but only around 37 percent for realworld driving. For company cars, an average UF of 63 percent for NEDC and approx. 20 percent for real-world driving was found. There are noteworthy differences between the markets, with the highest real-world UF found for Norway at 53 percent for private vehicles and the United States at 54 percent for private vehicles. The lowest UFs were for China at 26 percent for private vehicles, Germany with 18 percent for company cars and 43 percent for private vehicles, and the Netherlands with 24 percent for company cars (Figure 2).

PHEVs are not charged every day. Private users in Germany charge their PHEVs on average on three out of four driving days. For company cars, charging takes place only about every second driving day. The low charging frequency clearly reduces the share of kilometers driven on electricity. The very low UF for PHEVs in China also indicates low charging frequency, whereas PHEVs in Norway and the United States appear to be charged more often than in Germany or China.

### **Policy Implications**

Vehicle manufacturers should increase the all-electric range of their PHEVs from an average of about 50 km today to a level of about 90 km in future years. This would be sufficient to cover the full daily distance electrically on about 85 percent of driving days or approximately 70 percent of total distances driven by German private car owners if charged every day. Some PHEV models on the market today provide an all-electric range of this order and show mean UFs greater than 50 percent. Furthermore, manufacturers should limit the power of PHEV combustion engines.

# **Utility factor (UF)**




Figure 2. Utility factor of PHEVs with different all-electric range by country. The average specific utility factors (UFs) of individual PHEV model-variants are shown as observed in our sample for private vehicles (triangles) and company cars (circles) with the NEDC UF (dotted). The grey dots are the full sample and each plot shows data from one country by color.

Fleet managers should carefully assess which of their company car users' driving and usage behavior is appropriate for PHEVs. They should incentivize frequent charging of PHEVs, for example by allowing unlimited charging while limiting the budget for gasoline or diesel on a fuel card provided by the company.

At the European Union level, the CO<sub>2</sub> emission threshold for super credits should be lowered, or the qualification of a specific PHEV model should be demonstrated by using real-world usage data, for example collected from on-board fuel consumption meters. Similarly, the threshold for providing Zero- and Low Emission Vehicle (ZLEV) credits should be adapted to real-world data and the current multiplier of 0.7 should be removed to avoid any incentive for PHEVs with a low electric range. In parallel, the testing procedures for PHEVs, and in particular the UF assumptions of the WLTP, should be updated

to better reflect real driving and usage patterns.

At the national level, fiscal and other incentives should prefer PHEVs with a high all-electric range and a high ratio of electric motor power to combustion engine power. Whenever possible, incentives should be tied to demonstrating the proper real-world performance of the vehicles, for example by using UF data collected from on-board fuel consumption meters or during regular technical inspections. Furthermore, the legal and financial barriers for the installation of home charging points should be reduced. Company-car PHEV incentives could be issued only to companies that provide a sufficient workplace charging infrastructure or support employees in home or public charging. The attractiveness of driving on conventional fuel should be reduced by lowering charging costs, raising fuel prices, or limiting tax deductibility of costs for conventional fuels for organizations.

#### **Publication details**

#### Title

Real-world usage of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles – Fuel consumption, electric driving, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

#### **Authors**

Patrick Plötz, Cornelius Moll, Georg Bieker, Peter Mock, Yaoming Li

#### **Download**

https://s.fhg.de/plug-in-hybrid

#### Contact

Dr. Patrick Plötz
patrick.ploetz@isi.fraunhofer.de
Dr. Peter Mock
peter@theicct.org